Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/629,316	REDDY ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
•	Rebecca L. Anderson	1626
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>abandoned</u>		
(1) <u>Rebecca L. Anderson</u> .	(3)	
(2) Robert Franks.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 25 October 2006	Time: <u>11:43am</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: n/a		
Claims discussed: n/a		·
Prior art documents discussed:  n/a		
Part II.	•	
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
		,
•		,
Letitan		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicants' representative confirmed that no response was filed to the office action mailed 19 April 2006 and that the application is abandoned. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview since the application is abandoned..